## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2647 Filed 12/24/20 Page 1 of 7

1	GORDON H. DePAOLI					
2	Nevada State Bar No. 00195					
_	DALE E. FERGUSON Nevada State Bar No. 4986					
3	DOMENICO R. DePAOLI					
4	Nevada State Bar No. 11553					
	WOODBURN AND WEDGE					
5	6100 Neil Road, Suite 500					
6	Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone: 775 / 688-3000					
_	Telephone. 773 / 088-3000					
7	Attorneys for WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION					
8	DISTRICT					
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
10						
11	LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA	2.72 00127 MMD WCC				
.	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC				
12	Plaintiff,	)				
13		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]				
14	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	<ul><li>ORDER AMENDING ORDER</li><li>REGARDING DISCOVERY AND</li><li>MOTION SCHEDULE</li></ul>				
14	Plaintiff-Intervenor,					
15	Trantitit-intervenor,	) WOTTON SCHEDULE				
16	v.					
17	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,					
18	a corporation, et al.,	)				
10	Defendants.	)				
19						
20						
21	1. On March 16, 2020, the Court e	ntered the Order Regarding Discovery and				
	1. On March 10, 2020, the Court effected the Order Regarding Discovery and					
22	Motion Schedule and Procedure (the "Order"). ECF 2611.					
23	2. Among other things, in Paragraph 8, the Order addresses Expert Discovery.					
24	2. Timong other timigs, in rangraph	o, the order addresses Expert Discovery,				
2-	including dates when expert reports are due.					
25	O Division	1 4 2000				
26	3. Plaintiffs' opening expert reports we	ere due on August 14, 2020.				
	4. The United States served 17 expe	rt reports covering a wide range of subject				
27	The emica states served it expert reports covering a wide range of subject					
28	matter related to the issues in this matter.					

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2647 Filed 12/24/20 Page 2 of 7

The Walker River Paiute Tribe served two expert reports.

Principal Defendants' opening and responsive expert reports are due on January

Two of the United States' opening expert reports concern matters related to the

The Historical Reports are entitled "Historical Review of Water Development,

5.

6.

7.

8.

29, 2021.

2

1

- 45
- 6 7
- 8
- 10
- 12

11

- 13
- 1415
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 2223
- 2425
- 26
- 27

28

Water Rights and the Creation of the Walker River Indian Reservation 1859-1049" by Ian Smith, and "Land Status History of the Walker River Indian Reservation" by Sandra Lewis.

including the present time (the "Historical Reports").

9. The Historical Reports are in whole or in part based upon a review of historical documents covering a time span from 1859 to the present, and which under ordinary circumstances are available to be researched at public archival and records offices.

history of the Walker River Indian Reservation and its land status history from 1859 to and

- 10. The Principal Defendants have engaged an historical expert to provide a response to the Historical Reports and perhaps also to provide an opening expert report on historical matters which may not be directly addressed in the Historical Reports. Those reports are hereinafter referred to as the "Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report" and the "Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report," respectively.
- 11. Pursuant to her research plan, the historical expert engaged by the Principal Defendants to provide their Responsive Historical Report and/or their Opening Historical Report in this matter has identified several repositories that hold manuscript collections critical to her research and assignments in this matter. As of the present time, and for some time prior to August, 2020, each of those repositories have been and remain closed due to the Covid-19 Pandemic, making archival research progress (outside of digitally available records) impossible.

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2647 Filed 12/24/20 Page 3 of 7

- 12. As of the present time, the Principal Defendants' historical expert has been and is waiting for the following archive/records offices to reopen: the National Archives Riverside; National Archives San Bruno; National Archives DC/College Park; California State Archives; Nevada State Archives; and the Water Resource Center Archives at the University of California (the "Archive / Records Offices").
  - 13. All of those repositories remain closed, with no present plans to reopen.
  - 14. The Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants have conferred and agree that Paragraph 8 of the Order Concerning Expert Discovery should be modified in light of the foregoing circumstances.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Paragraphs 14 and 16 of the Order, and pursuant to the direction provided by Magistrate Judge Cobb at the December 22, 2020 Status Conference concerning this modification, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Because the expert engaged to prepare the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report and the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report needs access to the Archive/Records Offices, the January 29, 2021 due date for those reports is suspended.
- 2. The suspension provided for in Paragraph 1 of this Stipulation will continue until an appropriate date determined by the Court. This date shall be a date after the reopening date of the Archive/Records Offices to which the Principal Defendants' historical expert is awaiting access. In establishing this date, consideration will be given to the date of such reopenings, when the expert has been allowed access, obtained and reviewed materials, and the time needed to complete a report based upon the expert's then schedule. In light of the unexpected delay occasioned by the Covid-19 Pandemic circumstances, the Principal Defendants' historical expert shall take all reasonable steps, consistent with her then availability, to work expeditiously to access the Archive/Records Offices, and to complete the

### Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2647 Filed 12/24/20 Page 4 of 7

Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report and the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report.

- 3. Commencing on February 1, 2021, and on the first judicial day of each month thereafter, the Principal Defendants will report to the Plaintiffs' Counsel and to the Court concerning the status of access to each of the Archive/Records Offices.
- 4. The Plaintiffs will share with the Principal Defendants any information which they may have concerning access to the Archive/Records Offices on the same schedule.
- 5. The date for Plaintiffs' Response to the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report, if any, is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report is served.
- 6. The date for Plaintiffs' Rebuttal to the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report is served.
- 7. The date for Principal Defendants' Rebuttal to Plaintiffs' response to Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after Plaintiffs' Responsive Report is served.
- 8. Depositions of experts whose opening, responsive and/or rebuttal reports are delayed by this Stipulation shall be delayed until the last of such reports have been served. In the event insufficient time exists to complete such depositions within the discovery period, Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants will seek appropriate relief from the Court.
- 9. The Principal Defendants will provide on the current schedule (by January 29, 2021) the identity and qualifications of their historical expert, her publications, and past testimony as provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2)(B).

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2647 Filed 12/24/20 Page 5 of 7

10. The schedule for and expert report sequence for all other opening, responsive and rebuttal expert reports as set forth in Paragraph 8 of the Order are not modified by this Stipulation and Order, and shall remain as provided therein and in the following table:

Non-Historical Expert Sequence					
Plaintiffs' Opening	August 14, 2020				
Defendants'	January 29, 2021	Defendants' Opening	January 29, 2021		
Response					
		Plaintiffs' Response	March 26, 2021		
Plaintiffs' Rebuttal	April 30, 2021	Defendants' Rebuttal	April 30, 2021		

If the author of one or more of the non-historical expert reports which will be 11. provided on the current schedule set forth in Paragraph 10 above later becomes aware of information obtained from archival research which is the result of the facilities reopening and which, in their judgment, is relevant to their opinions, they may supplement their reports and opinions within 30 days.

Dated: December 24, 2020.

#### WOODBURN AND WEDGE

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

By: /s/ Gordon H. DePaoli	By: / s / Guss Guarino	
Gordon H. DePaoli	(per authorization)	
Nevada Bar No. 195	Guss Guarino, Trial Attorney	
6100 Neil Road, Suite 500	Tyler J. Eastman, Trial Attorney	
Reno, Nevada 89511	Marisa J. Hazell, Trial Attorney	
Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation	Environment and Natural Resources Div.	
District	999 18 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 370	
	Denver, Colorado 80202	

David L. Negri

LAW OFFICES OF WES WILLIAMS, JR., P.C.

By: / s / Wes Williams, Jr. (per authorization) Wes Williams, Jr., NSB 6864 3119 Lake Pasture Rd. P.O. Box 100

MEYER, WALKER, CONDON & WALKER, P.C.

Schurz, Nevada 89427

Trial Attorney, Natural Resources Section c/o U.S. Attorney's Office 800 Park Boulevard, Suite 600 Boise, Idaho 83712 Attorneys for United States of America

ADVOCATES FOR COMMUNITY & **ENVIRONMENT** 

By: / s / Simeon Herskovits (per authorization)

-5-

2 3

1

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2647 Filed 12/24/20 Page 6 of 7

1	Alice E. Walker	Simeon Herskovits, NSB 11155	
2	1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220	Iris Thornton	
	Boulder, Colorado 80302 Attorneys for Walker River Paiute Tribe	P.O. Box 1075 El Prado, New Mexico 87529	
3	Anorneys for wanter River Laune Tribe	Attorneys for Mineral County	
5	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA	BEST BEST & KRIEGER	
		By: / s / Roderick E. Walston	
6	By: /s/ Nhu Q. Nguyen	(per authorization)	
7	(per authorization)	Roderick E. Walston	
.	Nhu Q. Nguyen, NSB 7844	2001 N. Main Street, Suite 390	
8	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	Walnut Creek, California 94596	
9	Sacramento, California 94244-2550	Jerry Snyder, NSB 6830	
10	Attorneys for California State Agencies	429 W. Plumb Lane	
10		Reno, Nevada 89509	
11		Attorneys for Lyon County	
12	STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	THE COUNTY OF MONO (CA)	
13	ATTORNET GENERAL	By: / s / Stacey Simon	
	By: / s / Anthony J. Walsh	(per authorization)	
14	(per authorization)	Stacey Simon, County Counsel	
15	Anthony J. Walsh, NSB 14128	Jason Canger, Dep. County Counsel	
10	Deputy Attorney General	P.O. Box 2415A	
16	100 N. Carson Street	Mammoth Lakes, California 93546-2415	
17	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717	Attorneys for Mono County	
17	Attorneys for Nevada Department of Wildlife		
18		SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC	
10	SCHROEDER LAW OFFICES, P.C.	SIMONS IN LLE JOHN STONT C	
19		By: / s / Brad M. Johnston	
20	By: / s / Therese A. Ure	(per authorization)	
	(per authorization)	Brad M. Johnston, NSB 8515	
21	Therese A. Ure, NSB 10255	22 State Route 208	
22	10615 Double R Boulevard, Suite 100	Yerington, Nevada 89447	
	Reno, Nevada 89521	Attorneys for Desert Pearl Farms, Peri	
23	Attorneys for The Schroeder Group	Family Ranch, LLC, Peri & Peri LLC, and Frade Ranches	
24		Fraae Kancnes	
24	<u>ORDER</u>		
25	ORDER		
	Dated:, 2020. IT	Dated: , 2020. IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26			
27	_		
		William G. Cobb	
28	Ur	nited States Magistrate Judge	

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2647 Filed 12/24/20 Page 7 of 7

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on the 24th day of December, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the parties of record.

Further, pursuant to the Superseding Order Regarding Service and Filing in Subproceeding C-125-B on and by All Parties (ECF 2100) at  $10 \, \P$  20, the foregoing does not affect the rights of others and does not raise significant issues of law or fact. Therefore, the Walker River Irrigation District has taken no step to serve notice of this document via the postcard notice procedures described in paragraph 17.c of the Superseding Order.

/ s / Gordon H. DePaoli Gordon H. DePaoli